

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

LANDMARK TECHNOLOGY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLEGIANT TRAVEL COMPANY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 6:14-cv-895

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Landmark Technology, LLC ("Landmark"), for its Complaint against Allegiant Travel Company ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*
2. This Court has original and exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over the patent infringement claims for relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has transacted and is transacting business in the State of Texas that includes, but is not limited to, the use of products and systems that practice the subject matter claimed in the patents involved in this action.
4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b-c) and 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District where Defendant has done business and committed infringing acts and continues to do business and to commit infringing acts.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Landmark Technology, LLC ("Plaintiff") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 719 W. Front Street, Suite 157, Tyler, Texas 75702.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Allegiant Travel Company ("Allegiant") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business at 8360 South Durango Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113.

7. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Allegiant is in the business of selling and operating airline flights and travel packages, and derives a significant portion of its revenue from orders placed through and using at least, but not limited to, its iOS-compatible mobile application available for download from the Apple App Store (hereinafter, the "App") and related server(s).

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Allegiant has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by selling services to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Allegiant App and related server(s).

FACTS

9. On November 19, 1996, United States Patent No. 5,576,951 entitled "Automated Sales and Services System" was duly and legally issued to Lawrence B. Lockwood ("Lockwood") as inventor. A true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,576,951 is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference. Following a reexamination of Patent No. 5,576,951, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1, on January 29, 2008, confirming the validity of all ten (10) original claims and allowing twenty-two (22) additional claims. A true and correct copy of Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1 is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by this reference. Following a second

reexamination on the '951 patent the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C2, on May 9, 2013, again confirming the validity of all thirty-two (32) claims. A true and correct copy of Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C2 is attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by this reference. (United States Patent No. 5,576,951, together with the additional claims confirmed and allowed by Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C1, and as confirmed by Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Number US 5,576,951 C2, shall hereinafter be referred to as the "'951 Patent.") On September 1, 2008, Lockwood licensed all rights in the '951 Patent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '951 Patent, including all rights to enforce the '951 Patent and to recover for infringement. The '951 Patent is valid and in force.

10. On or about May 24, 2013, Plaintiff sent Defendant a letter informing Defendant of the '951 Patent and that Defendant's actions, as more fully described below, constituted infringement of the '951 Patent.

11. As more fully laid out below, Defendant has been and is now infringing the '951 Patent, in this judicial district and elsewhere, by selling its services and products using the App and supporting system(s), which, individually or in combination, incorporate and/or use subject matter claimed by the '951 Patent.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Direct Infringement of the '951 Patent, in Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a))

12. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1-11.

13. The claims of the '951 Patent relate to "a computer search system for retrieving information" and "a computerized system for selecting and ordering a variety of information, goods and services," each comprising a variety of features.

14. The Allegiant App and related server(s) are a "computer search system[s] for retrieving information" and "computerized system[s] for selecting and ordering a variety of information, goods and services" practicing the claims of the '951 Patent.

15. By way of example only, and not limited to it, Defendant's App and server(s) infringe Claim 10 of the '951 Patent in that, for example, the Defendant's App and server(s) are a system that practices all of the limitations of the claim and on which its customers search for information about its services and products and purchase said services and products, including:

a. The App and server(s) are a computerized system for selecting and ordering a variety of information and products.

b. The App and server(s) include a plurality of computerized data processing installations (server(s) and supporting systems) programmed for processing orders for said information and products.

c. The App operates on at least one computerized station (a device).

d. The App and servers (s) practice all of the remaining limitations of Claim 10 of the '951 Patent.

16. Defendant, therefore, by the acts complained of herein, is making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, products and/or services embodying the invention, and has in the past and is now continuing to infringe the '951 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

17. Defendant threatens to continue to engage in the acts complained of herein and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable injury. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief for such future and continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff does not have an adequate remedy at law to compensate it for the injuries threatened.

18. By reason of the acts of Defendant alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount to be proved at trial.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the infringement by Defendant is willful, wanton, and deliberate, without license, and with full knowledge of the

'951 Patent, thereby making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to attorneys' fees and enhanced damages.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- A. For an order finding that the '951 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. For an order finding that, by the acts complained of herein, Defendant has directly infringed the '951 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;
- C. For an order finding that Defendant has willfully infringed the '951 Patent;
- D. For an order temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, parents, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting in concert or privity with any of them, from infringing the '951 Patent;
- E. For an order directing Defendant to deliver to Plaintiff for destruction or other disposition all infringing products and systems in its possession;
- F. For an order directing Defendant to file with the Court, and serve upon Plaintiff's counsel, within thirty (30) days after entry of the order of injunction, a report setting forth the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the injunction;
- G. For an order awarding Plaintiff general and/or specific damages, including a reasonable royalty and/or lost profits, in amounts to be fixed by the Court in accordance with proof, including enhanced and/or exemplary damages, as appropriate, as well as all of Defendant's profits or gains of any kind from its acts of patent infringement;
- H. For an order awarding enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 due to the willful and wanton nature of Defendant's infringement;

I. For an order awarding Plaintiff all of its costs, including its attorneys' fees, incurred in prosecuting this action, including, without limitation, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and other applicable law;

J. For an order awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and

K. For an order awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: December 8, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Charles Ainsworth

Charles Ainsworth
State Bar No. 00783521
Robert Christopher Bunt
State Bar No. 00787165
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114
Tyler, TX 75702
903/531-3535
903/533-9687
E-mail: charley@pbatyler.com
E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Landmark Technology, LLC